

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,096 01/13/2005		/13/2005	Fulvio Boldrini	2545-0464	4551
7:	90	04/11/2006	· ·	EXAMINER	
Harbin King & Klima 500 Ninth Street SE				DESAL, HEMANT	
Washington, DC 20003				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3721	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S	
00	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/521,096	BOLDRINI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hemant M. Desai	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ja	anuary 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: Phrase "such as" (claim 9, line 4; claim 10, lines 4 and 9) should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German Patent (3531728).

German Patent ('728) discloses a system for forming containers and comprises a first feed station by which a continuous strip (4, fig. 1) of a forming material is directed along a predetermined feed path, a main reel (6, fig. 1) rotatable about a relative longitudinal axis, from which the strip (4) is decoilable along the feed path, a second feed station (19, fig. 1) supplying a single file of tubular element (5, fig. 1) generated from the strip, sealing means (24, fig. 1) operating on a first open end of each tubular element (5) in such a way as to enclose the selfsame first end (see page 9, line 27 to page 10, line 3), a conveying mechanism (20, 21) capable of movement between first operating position of alignment with the second feed station (19), from which it receives the tubular elements (5), and a second operating position in which the tubular elements

(5) are positioned in alignment with the sealing means (24), and in that the conveying mechanism (20, 21) comprises at least one wheel rotatable in a given feed direction along a sealing path passing adjacent to the second feed station (19) and the sealing means (24), which meets all the claimed limitations of claim.

Regarding claim 2, the wheel comprises a central hub (see fig.) rotatable about a respective axis, also a plurality of supporting elements projecting radially from the hub and serving to carry the tubular elements (5), of which the supporting elements (13) each present a first end anchored to the hub and a second end remote from the first end (see fig.).

Regarding claim 3, each supporting element of the wheel presents a substantially parallelepiped shape matched to the internal geometry of the tubular element (5), in such a way that each tubular element (5) can be fitted over a respective supporting element with the relative first open end positioned at the second end of the supporting element.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) in view of Japanese Patent (63082736).

Application/Control Number: 10/521,096

Art Unit: 3721

German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses the sealing means, except for first and second joining heads to close the open end of the tubular element. However, Japanese Patent ('736) teaches the sealing means (160, fig. 31) having the first joining head (140-141, 144, figs. 26-29) and second joining head (185, 195, 199, 201, 212, figs. 34, 36 and 40) for closing the open end of the tubular element (55, fig. 4). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sealing means having first and second joining heads as taught by Japanese Patent ('736) in the system for forming containers of German Patent to for close the open end of the tubular element.

Regarding claims 5-6, the first joining head (10a) comprises two folder elements (15) by which the corresponding sides (14) of the open end (2b) are drawn together and the respective top edges (14a) of the sides matched one to another; also sealer (15a) operating on the two edges (14a) in such a way as to secure the selfsame edges one to another, and two restraints (201, figs. 37-39) positioned in alignment with the press (212, fig. 39), so that each end fold (89, fig. 4B) will locate against a respective restraint under the action of the press.

Regarding claim 8, the second joining head (210, fig. 39) comprises an arm (213) capable of vertical movement and offered to the flattened end folds (89) at central point on the base surface.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) in view of Reil et al. (5069021).

German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses all the limitations, except for a forming device positioned to coincide with the feed station and bend the blank around the former of shape corresponding to the shape of the tubular element. However, Reil et al. teach the forming device (65, fig. 3) positioned to coincide with the feed station and bend the blank around the former of shape corresponding to the shape of the tubular element. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the forming device as taught by Reil et al. in the system for forming containers of German Patent to bend the blank around the former in the shape of the tubular element.

7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent (3531728) in view of Williams (4530692).

German Patent, as mentioned above, discloses all the limitations, except for a gripper element which will engage the opposite edges of pre creased blank, and thereupon apply a compressive force to the opposite edges such that it will cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile when viewed in section. However, Williams teaches a gripper element (28, 30, figs. 2-3) which will engage the opposite edges of pre creased blank (52, figs. 2-3), and thereupon apply a compressive force to the opposite edges (58a-58d, figs. 2-3) such that it will cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile (see col. 3, lines 5-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the gripper element as taught by Williams in the

system for forming containers of German Patent to cause the flattened profile of the blank to expand substantially square profile.

Conclusion

Page 6

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hemant M. Desai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4458. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-5: 30 PM, Mon-Thurs...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hemant M. Desen

Hemant M Desai

Examiner
Art Unit 3721

HMD